



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,077	03/28/2001	Masakazu Hoashi	MAT-8117US	4032
75	90 12/16/2003		EXAM	INER
RATNER AND PRESTIA			LE, HOANGANH T	
Suite 301				<del></del>
One Westlakes, Berwyn			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 980			2821	
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AN

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/820,077

HOASHI et al

Examiner

**HOANGANH LE** 

Art Unit 2821



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	or Reply				
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing	date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 9, 20	03			
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\square$ This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-14	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-14	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 5 and 6	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
~	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) 🔲 Not	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 14 Office Action: 4

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed on June 9, 2003 is acknowledged. 1.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the angle between the ungrounded antennas is established 90 degrees" of claim 6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Vaughan et 4. al (the US Patent No. 5,771,022).

Application Serial Number: 09/820,077

Office Action: 4

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 14

The Vaughan et al reference teaches in figure 1 a diversity wireless device for providing diversity using a plurality of ungrounded antennas 1a,1b wherein a ground plane 3 is placed in proximity to at least one of the ungrounded antennas 1a,1b and the at least one of the ungrounded antenna is isolated from the ground plane (figure 1). Figure 3 shows an angle between the antennas being 90 degrees.

The limitation "at least one of the ungrounded antennas communicates with the ground plane via high-frequency waves" is merely intended use which fails to patentably distinguish the claims.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-4 and 8-14 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed June 09, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Vaughan does not disclose that at least one of the ungrounded antennas communicates with the ground plane via high-frequency waves, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably

distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Since Vaughan does disclose in figure 1 a ground plane 3 being placed in proximity to at least one of the ungrounded antennas 1a,1b and the at least one of the ungrounded antenna is isolated from the ground plane, the 102 rejection is proper.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

Office Action: 4

Art Unit: 2821 File Paper Number: 14

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Correspondence

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Hoanganh Le whose telephone number is (703) 308-4921.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.
- 10. Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Hoanganh Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821 December 10, 2003

> Hoanganh Le Primary Examiner